UTPE 128/1999

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Franch Indian Pharmacaeuticals Pvt.Complainant

Versus

Yesh Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd. ...Respondents

Appearances:: Shri Rohit K.Aggarwal, Advocate for the

complainant

None for the respondent

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

None appears for the respondent even though the matter was passed over. Issue Notice of Enquiry to the respondent. The application under Section 12-A of the MRTP Act shall be considered when the matter is listed on 3rd March 2010.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat]
Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

MTPE 01/2008

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

DG(I&R)Complainant

Versus

M/s Inderprastha Gas Ltd. ...Respondents

Appearances:: Shri R.D.Makheeja, Advocate for the DG

Shri K.K.Rai with Shri S.K.Pandey, Advocates for

the respondenr

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

Counsel for the respondent (IGL) states that an affidavit indicating the details required in terms of the earlier order shall be filed. It is stated that some of the informations required to be given cannot be supplied by IGL. If that be so, let it be so indicated in the affidavit.

List on 17th March 2010.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

RTPE 13/2006

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

DG(I&R)Complainant

Versus

India Auto Industries Pvt. Ltd. & Others ...Respondents

Appearances: Shri R.D.Makheeja, Advocate for the DG

None for R-1 & 2

Shri Rajkumar Verma, Advocate for R-3 Shri U.P.Mathur, Advocate for R-4

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

Further affidavit, if any, by any of the parties shall be filed by 12th March 2010.

List the matter on 17th March 2010.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat]
Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

RTPE 142/2000

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

DG(I&R)Complainant

Versus

ICICI India Ltd. Calcutta ...Respondents

Appearances: Shri R.D.Makheeja, Advocate for the DG

None for the respondent

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

As requested by the counsel for the respondent, list this matter on 18th March 2010 for final arguments.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

RTPE 163/1997

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

DG(I&R)Complainant

Versus

Escorts Ltd. ...Respondents

Appearances: Shri V.K.Mehta, Advocate for the DG

Shri Ajit Warrier with Shri Mehfooz Nizki,

Advocates for the respondent

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Alleging illegal termination of agency, complaint was filed in terms of Section 10(a) of the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 (in short the "Act"). The Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission (in short the "Commission") issued Notice of Enquiry (in short "NOE") under Section 10(a)(iv) an Section 36B(d) of the Act. Responses were filed, and evidence was adduced by the parties.

The evidence on record shows that the termination was linked to performance and had nothing to do with the market conditions.

The complaint petition does not deserve further consideration.

In addition to the factual position, the following observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <u>Saurabh Prakash Versus</u>

DLF Universal Ltd. reported in (2007) 1 Supreme Court Cases 228

are relevant:-

"The power of the Commission to award compensation,

therefore, is restricted to a case where loss or damage had

been caused as a result of monopolistic or restrictive or unfair

trade practice. It has no jurisdiction where damage is claimed

for mere breach of contract."

In the instant case, the complainants' case hinged on breach

of contract. The complaint has remedies for breach of contractual

obligations of commercial nature between the parties as alleged.

The indirect way of espousing grievances by resorting to Section

10(a) or Section 36B(d) of the Act need not be countenanced.

Above being the position, the complaint is devoid of merit. The

proceedings are closed. Notice of Enquiry discharged.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat]

Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

RTPE 13/2007

<u>CORAM</u>

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Rahul Sarin, Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

DG(I&R)Complainant

Versus

M/s Inter Glob Aviation Ltd.

...Respondents

Appearances: Shri V.K.Mehta, Advocate for the DG

Shri Rajsekhar Rao, Advocate for the respondent

ORAL ORDER 10.12.2009

List this matter on 22nd February 2010.

[Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

> [Rahul Sarin] Member